Approved October 13, 2022 as written

PINE MEADOW MUTUAL WATER COMPANY

BOARD OF TRUSTEES MEETING

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 8, 2022

SUMMIT COUNTY, UTAH

Board Members in Attendance: Eric Cylvick, Shaun Baker, Scott Smith, George Sears – Board Members.

Ex-Officio: Brody Blonquist

Eric Cylvick called the meeting to order at 6:33 p.m.

Minutes

MOTION: Eric Cylvick moved to approve the Minutes of August 11, 2022, as written. Scott Smith seconded the motion.

VOTE: The motion passed unanimously.

Unpaid Bills

Brody reviewed the unpaid bills. Badger Meter was July services for the meters. Ferguson Enterprises was showing a credit. KGC Associates was Carol's invoice. Komatsu Equipment was showing a credit for returned items. Rocky Mountain Power was the power bill. Utah State Division of Finance were the two loan payments. Verizon Wireless were the cellphones.

MOTION: George Sears moved to pay the Unpaid Bills dated August 11, 2022. Eric Cylvick seconded the motion.

VOTE: The motion passed unanimously.

Financials

The Board reviewed the Profit and Loss/Budget versus Actual.

Mr. Cylvick noted that they were over budget by \$14,000 under Water System Maintenance Engineering, which was a water purchase from Mountain Regional. He explained that the bill was higher because all the Water Company's sources were low and they had to rely 100% on

Pine Meadow Water Company Monthly Board Meeting September 8, 2022 Page 2

Mountain Regional. Mr. Cylvick stated that the bill was paid, and they currently have a credit for water with Mountain Regional.

Brody reported that the wells and pumps are back up and everything was running well.

MOTION: Eric Cylvick moved to Approve the Profit and Loss, Budget versus Actual dated September 7, 2022. Scott Smith seconded the motion.

VOTE: The motion passed unanimously.

The Board reviewed the Balance Sheet.

Mr. Cylvick asked if they had sold the plow. Brody answered yes, it was sold when they sold the white Ford Truck several years ago.

Mr. Cylvick asked Carol to let him know what the category is for the \$54,000 from Mountain Regional Water. It appears that \$21,000 came out of the Mountain Regional Reserve, but it does not show anything coming back on the liability side.

MOTION: Eric Cylvick moved to Approve the Balance Sheet and Previous Year Comparison as of August 7, 2022. Scott Smith seconded the motion.

VOTE: The motion passed unanimously.

Manager's Report

Brody stated that he kept the Board members in the loop with cutting the asphalt and raising the valve above the asphalt on Crow Loop. Brody had someone from GPR come up to pinpoint the location and it was accurate. The Company they used before was perfect on east to west, but off by six or seven feet north to south with the GIS. Brody stated that the bill from GPR was high, plus labor for he and Trevor. Mr. Cylvick asked Carol to do an analysis on labor to see what they should pay Brody and Trevor for labor rate plus burden and let him know.

Brody reported that he and Trevor installed a couple of meters and fixed a leaking fire hydrant in I-Plat. Next month they will tie up everything to prepare for winter.

Mr. Cylvick asked about the meter installation between Mountain Regional and Pine Meadow Water. Brody stated that once everything slows down for both entities, they should begin

Pine Meadow Water Company Monthly Board Meeting September 8, 2022 Page 3

discussing negotiation points and who will pay for what and how much so they will have a base point. If the costs change, they can adjust in the Spring. Brody had sent an email to Mountain Regional, and they are ready to have that discussion.

Brody had received a phone call from Mountain Regional letting him know that the Water Company has a 110,000-gallon credit. Mountain Regional would like to pump back that water every month; however, Brody disagreed and asked to keep it as a credit for the future. He explained that it is hard to budget for the water because there is no way to know how much the Water Company will need to purchase from Mountain Regional. Brody asked Mountain Regional to consider his request before they pump the water back and give the Water Company the opportunity to explain their reasoning. Mr. Sears assumed that Mountain Regional did not want the credit on their books because it is a liability. Mr. Cylvick pointed out that they have always banked water with Mountain Regional and he was not interested in changing the process. Brody stressed the importance of having an amendment to the MOU agreement.

Brody recalled from the last meeting that an owner on Porcupine would like the Water Company to install a fire hydrant near his property and he was willing to pay the cost of the fire hydrant. Brody explained that there is an easement water line that is not on the road. It actually goes back and behind Porcupine. The Fire Department does not classify it as a road, and they will not hook to the fire hydrant. Secondly, things have changed with fire hydrants, and it is now how the hose will lay from the fire hydrant down the road and up the driveway to the house. Brody stated that it used to be 500 feet to the property line, then 500 feet as the crow flies from the fire hydrant to the nearest point of the building. Now the distance is 600 feet rolling down the road and up to the center of the driveway. The 600-foot requirement is a State regulation. Brody emphasized that because it is not on a road, a fire hydrant will not do the property owner any good. He recommended fire suppression, which also requires a 5,000-gallon tank.

Brody pointed out that a large number of the fire hydrants are not accessible 6 months out of the year. Mr. Sears remarked that at some point someone needs to explain to the State why they need exceptions because others besides Pine Meadow have the same issue.

Brody did not think the Water Company should set the precedent of putting in fire hydrants for individual property owners. He was concerned about the number of fire hydrants they could potentially have on the Mountain because people cannot get insurance. He believed encouraging fire suppression was a better option. Mr. Sears stated that if there is not a fire hydrant within a reasonable area the Water Company would still have some responsibility. He thought the Board needed guidelines on what they are or are not willing to do. Brody urged the Board to do something fairly soon. Mr. Sears suggested seeking legal advice on the extent of the Water

Pine Meadow Water Company Monthly Board Meeting September 8, 2022 Page 4

Company's obligation regarding fire suppression. Mr. Cylvick asked the Board to think about fire hydrants and how they should approach the situation. Brody reiterated his concerns and emphasized his belief that the Water Company should not get involved in installing fire hydrants for individual property owners.

The Regular meeting of the Pine Meadow Mutual Water Company Board of Trustees adjourned at 7:20 p.m.

Minutes Approved

Date